HYDE & SWIGART San Diego, California

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

James Frommer,	Case No: <u>'14CV0142 WQHWVG</u>
Plaintiff, v.	Complaint For Damages  Jury Trial Demanded
Asset Acceptance, LLC,	July IIIai Demanded
Defendant.	

#### Introduction

1. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt

1

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14 15

17

18

16

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 ///

- collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.
- 2. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.
- The FDCPA broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods; 3. conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor; and any false, deceptive or misleading statements, in connection with the collection of a debt; it also requires debt collectors give debtors certain information. 15 *U.S.C* §§1692d, 1692e, 1692f, and 1692g.
- James Frommer, (Plaintiff), through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to 4. challenge the actions of Asset Acceptance, LLC, ("Defendant"), with regard to attempts by Defendant to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception 5. of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint 6. alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by Defendant took place 7. in California.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

26

27

28

Any violations by Defendant were knowing, willful, and intentional, and 8. Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 9. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.
- This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection 10. Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.32 ("Rosenthal Act").
- 11. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of San Diego, State of California.
- Defendants attempted to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff by mailing a 12. collection letter to Plaintiff's residence in San Diego, California. This letter was in violation of the FDCPA and Rosenthal Act.
- 13. Because this letter mailed to Plaintiff at his San Diego address is a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim, venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).
- 19 14. Further, at all times relevant, Defendant conducted business within the 20 County of San Diego, the State of California.
- Defendant regularly operates within the county of San Diego and files 15. 21 22 hundreds of lawsuits within San Diego county.
- 23 Because Defendant does business and has the capacity to sue within the 16. County of San Diego and State of California, personal jurisdiction is 24 25 established and venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) & (c)(2).

### **PARTIES**

17. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of San Diego, State of California.

- 18. Defendant is located in the City of Warren, in the State of Michigan.
- 19. Plaintiff is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 20. Defendant is a person who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is therefore a debt collector as that phrase is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 21. Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff, and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 22. Defendant, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of himself, herself, or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), is therefore a debt collector as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- 23. This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a consumer debt and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).

### PRIVACY PROTECTIONS IN THE FDCPA AND THE ROSENTHAL ACT

24. The FDCPA contains a number of provisions that prevent debt collectors from informing third parties that a given individual allegedly owes a debt. "[T]he FDCPA generally protects the consumer's privacy by limiting debt collector communications about personal affairs to third parties ..." FTC Official Staff Commentary on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 53 Fed. Reg. 50097 (Dec. 13, 1988), at 50103.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

collector.

- 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) contains a general prohibition against debt collectors 25. communicating with third parties:
  - (b) Communication with third parties Except as provided in section 1692b of this title, without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector, or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, or as reasonably necessary to effectuate a postjudgment judicial remedy, a debt collector may not communicate, in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the

creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt

Section 1692b, which discusses the restrictions on the acquisition of location information on a consumer, is not applicable here.

- 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(3) and (4) define as "harassment" and a violation of the 26. FDCPA "[t]he publication of a list of consumers who allegedly refuse to pay debts, except to a consumer reporting agency or to persons meeting the requirements of section 1681a(f) or 1681b(3) of this title" and the "advertisement for sale of any debt to coerce payment of the debt." Section 1681a(f) is the Fair Credit Reporting Act definition of consumer reporting agency. Section 1681b(3) is a Fair Credit Reporting Act provision dealing with use of consumer reports. The Federal Trade Commission has interpreted these provisions to bar publication to one or more persons: "Debt collectors may not exchange lists of consumers who allegedly refuse to pay their debts ... a debt collector may not distribute a list of alleged debtors to its creditor subscribers." FTC Official Staff Commentary on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 53 Fed. Reg. 50097 (Dec. 13, 1988), at 50105.
- The relevant portions of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e provide that: 27.

§ 1692e. False or misleading representations [FDCPA § 807]

San Diego, California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt. Without limiting the general application of the foregoing, the following conduct is a violation of this section:

[...]

(5) The threat to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.

[...]

(10) The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- Sometime before November 1, 2013, Plaintiff is alleged to have incurred 28. certain financial obligations.
- These financial obligations were primarily for personal, family or household 29. purposes and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 30. These alleged obligations were money, property, or their equivalent, which is due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- 31. Sometime thereafter, but before November 1, 2013, Plaintiff allegedly fell behind in the payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt. Plaintiff currently takes no position as to the validity of this alleged debt.
- Subsequently, but before November 1, 2013, the alleged debt was assigned, 32. placed, or otherwise transferred, to Defendant for collection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On or about November 1, 2013, Defendant mailed a dunning letter to 33. Plaintiff. A few days later, Plaintiff received that letter.
- 34. This communication to Plaintiff was a "communication" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2), and an "initial communication" consistent with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
- This communication was a "debt collection" as Cal. Civ. Code 1788.2(b) 35. defines that phrase, and an "initial communication" consistent with Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.700(b).
- 36. This November 1, 2013 letter was sent in an attempt to negotiate and collect payment from Plaintiff on this alleged debt.
- 37. On the backside of the letter was information provided by Defendant regarding Plaintiff's privacy rights.
- 38. The notice on the backside purported to be given in compliance with the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, 15 U.S.C. §6801-6810.
- The notice then explains that all information gathered and held by Defendant 39. is kept safe through physical and electronic safeguards.
- Next the notice lists information that Defendant might gather: 40.

COLLECTING INFORMATION. We obtain Customer information about you as permitted by law from : applications or other forms you have filled out, your transactions with us, prior owners of your account, our affiliates, or others; and consumer reports (including credit reports). This information includes your name, your address, your social security number, you current and former employers, your payment history and your account balances.

- Just below, Defendant accurately states the following: 41.
  - USING INFORMATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE COLLECTION OF DEBT. If we want to use any of the Customer Information we have obtained about you in connection with the collection of debt we can only communicate that information with your express permission, or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19 20

> 21 22

23

24

25 26

27 28 with the express permission of a court, or to you, your attorney, the creditor to whom you owe the debt, that creditor's attorney, our own attorney, r as otherwise permitted by the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C 1692 et seq and Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. 1681 et seq. YOU DO NOT HAVE TO DO ANYTHING IN ORDER TO LIMIT OUR USE AND SHARING OF YOUR CUSTOMER INFORMATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE COLLECTION OF DEBT.

42. However, Defendant then overshadows its previous statement by stating the following:

> USING INFORMATION FOR OTHER PURPOSES AS PERMITTED BY LAW. If we ant to use or share any of the Customer Information we have obtained about you for some purpose other than that collection of debt, we can share that information as permitted by the following laws.

The Gramm-Leach-Bliliey Act allows us to share Customer information with on-affiliated third parties in certain circumstances such s with companies that provide services to us or that help us administer your account, in connection with the sale of our business assets, and if the sharing is necessary for us to be in compliance with law.

The Fair Credit Reporting Act allows us to share certain information other that credit report information with debt purchasers affiliated with us.

The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act allows financial institutions and other businesses to share basic information concerning individual's location with each other for limited purposes ("skip-tracing"), and Asset Acceptance, LLC initiates and responds to skip tracing requests as permitted by law.

- 43. To the least sophisticated consumer, this last section means that all sorts of non-pubic information can be shared with anyone who Defendant wants.
- The least sophisticated consumer could reasonably review this notice and 44. believe the the private information such as employers and social security

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- information is permitted to be shared with companies who provide services to Asset, such as technical support providers. That sort of dissemination of information is not permitted under the FDCPA, irregardless of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act.
- 45. Many of the uses listed in this Privacy Notice are prohibited by the FDCPA and the Rosenthal Act, in that they are with persons other than "the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector." Actual disclosures of that nature would violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692c, as well as Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17, and the statement that Defendant would make such disclosures, or has the right to do so, violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e and 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5), as well as Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- In addition, several of the listed uses in this Privacy Notice amount to the 46. publication of lists of persons who have allegedly refused to pay debts, and either still have not paid or were ultimately induced to pay. The actual publication of such lists would violate 15 U.S.C. § 1692d, as well as Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17, and the statement that Defendants will do so or has the right to do so violates 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, as well as Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- 47. For example, debt collectors have been known to sell lists of persons who have not paid their debts to issuers of subprime credit cards, who offer to issue a credit card if the consumer will put some or all of the unpaid debt on the credit card. Prospective lenders are not among the parties to whom a debt may be disclosed under 15 U.S.C. § 1692c.
- This implication by Defendant is meant to persuade consumers to pay on their 48. alleged debts in order to protect their private information.
- This paragraph regarding protection of information contradicts the proceeding 49. paragraphs in which the Defendants explain in broad terms that information

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- about the Plaintiff will be shared with third parties, not restricted to ONLY Defendant's employees who NEED the information.
- 50. Through this conduct, Defendants used a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt. Consequently, Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e and 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
- 51. Because this violated certain portions of the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act as these portions are incorporated by reference in the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, through California Civil Code § 1788.17, this conduct or omission violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
- 52. Finally, the inclusion of erroneous statements in the notice claiming that information about the debtor can or will be disclosed to third parties provided with the collection letter amounts to an implicit threat that the debtor's privacy will be invaded if the debt is not paid, all in violation of the FDCPA and the Rosenthal Act.
- 53. Through this conduct, Defendants threatened to take action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken. Consequently, Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5).

19 | ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 | ///

24 ///

25 | ///

26 ///

27 | ///

28 ///

### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

### **COUNT I**

# FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA) 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 ET SEQ.

- 54. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other paragraphs.
- 55. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 56. As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

### **COUNT II**

# ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (ROSENTHAL ACT) CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1788-1788.32

- 57. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other paragraphs.
- 58. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the Rosenthal Act, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the Rosenthal Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788-1788.32
- 59. As a result of each and every violation of the Rosenthal Act, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a); statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c) from Defendant.

28 ///

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant, and Plaintiff be awarded damages from Defendant, as follows:

- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c).
- 60. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

### **Hyde & Swigart**

Date: January 21, 2014

By: /s/Jessica R. K. Dorman

Jessica R. K. Dorman

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# Case 3:14-cv-00142-WQH-WVG. Document 1 Filed 01/21/14 PageID.13 Page 13 of 14 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	RM.)	is in the first the disc of	the Clerk of Court for the	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
James Frommer				Asset Acceptance, LLC			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant  (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Jessica R. K. Dorman (SBN: 279919); Robert L. Hyde (SBN: 2271 Hyde & Swigart (619) 233-7770 2221 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 101, San Diego, CA 92108			83)	Attorneys (If Known)	'14CV01	42 WQHWVG	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF DEF  Citizen of This State  1 1 1 Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant  Defe		Citize	Citizen of Another State				
NATURE OF CHIE				en or Subject of a reign Country	□ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		orts	FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise    REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR    365 Personal Injury - Product Liability     367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability     368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability     370 Other Fraud     370 Other Fraud     371 Truth in Lending     380 Other Personal Property Damage     385 Property Damage Product Liability     PRISONER PETITION     463 Alien Detainee     510 Motions to Vacate Sentence     530 General     535 Death Penalty Other:     540 Mandamus & Oth     550 Civil Rights     555 Prison Condition     560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	Y	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other  LABOR  0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange  ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	noved from a 3 te Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 15 U.S.C. §§ 169 Brief description of ca	Appellate Court tute under which you ar 2 et seq.	re filing (I	eened Anothe (specify) Oo not cite jurisdictional state	er District Litigation		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	IN		N D	EMAND \$ 2,000.00	AND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:		
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 01/21/2014	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD S/Jessica R. K. Dorman						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # AM	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP			JUDGE	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		

#### INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

  United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1343 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)** 

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.